

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In response to the Examiner's Office Action of June 1, 2007 the Applicant respectfully submits the accompanying Amendment of the claims and the below Remarks.

Regarding Amendment

In the Amendment:

claims 1 and 18 are amended to remove the colon and semicolon punctuations in the first recited step and to clarify that the address is identified from either the sensing device identity or the interaction data;

claims 12, 13, 29 and 30 are amended to remove the colon from "of:";

claim 12 is amended to replace "PDA" with --Personal Digital Assistant (PDA)--;

claims 35 and 36 are amended to insert --the-- before "transfer of information" in the preambles;

claims 10 and 27 are amended to replace "is permitted to" with --can--;

claim 35 is amended to replace the second recited step with the recitation --sending interaction data to an application accompanied with the temporary telecommunication address, wherein said interaction data comprises data generated by the sensing device following interaction of the sensing device with the coded data while the sensing device was used to write or draw on the surface--;

claim 36 is amended to clearly separate the recited steps and to replace the second recited step with the recitation --sending to a service handler user unit information data accompanied with the temporary telecommunication address, wherein said user unit information data comprise either data resulting from what is marked on an activation icon on a position coded surface by a user unit or data associated with a certain area of the position coded surface--; and

claims 2-9, 11, 14-17, 19-26, 28 and 31-34 are unchanged.

It is respectfully submitted that the Amendment does not add any new matter to the present application.

Regarding Claim Objections

It is respectfully submitted that the above-described amendments to claims 1, 12, 13, 18, 29, 30, 35 and 36, provide the corrections required by the Examiner.

Regarding 35 USC 112, second paragraph Rejections

Regarding Claims 1, 2, 18, 19 and 35

It is respectfully submitted that the recitation of "a sensing device" in pending claims 1, 2, 18, 19 and 35 is clear with respect to the claimed method which is directed to the manner of communicating data generated by interactions of the "sensing device" with "coded data", rather than the interactions themselves. The Examiner is respectfully reminded that breadth of claim language does not equate to indefiniteness (see MPEP §2173.04).

Regarding Claims 10, 27, 35 and 36

It is respectfully submitted that the above-described amendments to claims 10, 27, 35 and 36, clarify the recitations of these claims.

Regarding Specification

The Applicant has deleted the first paragraph at Page 1 of the specification and has replaced it with a new paragraph entitled "Cross-Reference to related applications".

The Applicant has amended page 1 of the specification by deleting the paragraph entitled "Co-pending applications" and replacing it with a new updated paragraph. The US application numbers have merely been replaced by their corresponding US grant numbers, where applicable.

The Applicant submits that these amendments introduce no new matter.

It is respectfully submitted that all of the Examiner's objections and rejections have been traversed. Accordingly, it is submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance and reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

Very respectfully,

Applicants:



Jacqueline Anne Lapstun



Kia Silverbrook



Paul Lapstun



Paul Quentin Scott

C/o: Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd
393 Darling Street
Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email: kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com

Telephone: +612 9818 6633

Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762